

## A2 Incentives not “its increase”

1. we meet – when the USfg passes our plan through normal means that is “its increase” in exploration – the congress is immediately exploring the ocean as it engages in the process of passing the plan
2. we meet – the plan takes place in US territorial waters therefor the increase in develop belongs to the US
3. we meet – we are US citizens and our discussion and debate is an exploration of the idea of the plan. We are the USfg since “we the people” is us in our representative democracy. ☺
4. we meet – the incentives themselves belong to the USfg
5. Prefer our interpretation – we offer a clearer brightline for debate – US territorial waters are topical, other countries’ territory is not.
6. There is no specific abuse is this round – our plan links to plenty of arguments
7. Literature checks abuse – our plan is mentioned in all the academic literature on ocean policy and we should prefer the interpretation of the experts in this field over their weird T.
8. Overlimiting – their interpretation over limits by calling all normal means affirmatives non-topical.
9. Reasonability – defer to our reasonably topical plan as the standard and don’t pull the trigger on T